

The ZetaTalk Newsletter

Issue 271, Sunday December 11, 2011

Weekly news and views from around the world and beyond.

New ZetaTalk

Earth Changes

Announcements

Signs of the Times

Olsen the Amateur

Is Rolf Olsen an amateur astronomer or a brilliant innovator? He is both. With amateur equipment, this amateur developed a technique to eliminate the glare from a nearby sun, allowing a detailed examination of the solar system of planets around the Beta Pictoris star. Where this had been accomplished with observatory equipment, it had not been thought possible with amateur equipment.

IMAGE: [Rolf Olsen](#)

NZer Captures First Photos of Another Solar System

November 28, 2011

http://www.nzherald.co.nz/world/news/article.cfm?c_id=2&objectid=10769324

An astronomer has captured the first amateur pictures of another solar system from a tiny telescope in his back yard. The material that forms the proto-planetary disc around Beta Pictoris has been photographed by large observatories often before, but it was not thought possible for amateurs to take a picture of the system, because of the glare from the star itself. But by capturing an image of a similar star and subtracting it from the picture of Beta Pictoris, Olsen was able to eliminate the stellar glare, revealing the dust disk.

Kiwi's Space Photos Stun Astronomers

November 28, 2011

<http://www.stuff.co.nz/national/6046887/Kiwis-space-photos-stun-astronomers>

Aucklander Rolf Olsen has captured the star Beta Pictoris, and the disk of debris and dust orbiting it, in a stunning image that has amazed astronomers worldwide. He's proved it's possible to do it with amateur equipment. Olsen first ventured into astrophotography in 2003, but has owned telescopes since 1990. Olsen moved to New Zealand in 2003 from Denmark. The main difficulty is the overwhelming glare from Beta Pictoris itself which completely drowns out the dust disk that is circling very

close to the star. Olsen had thought it was impossible to block out the glare using amateur equipment, but had second thoughts after reading a scientific paper from 1993 Observation of the central part of the beta Pictoris disk with an anti-blooming CCD.

Why is this significant? There is currently a cover-up over the nearby presence of Planet X, which stands between the Earth and Sun and is lost in the glare of the Sun. Sunlight coming through the haze of the vast dust cloud shrouding Planet X makes it appear as a dim fuzz-ball, except for the rare occasions when a flash of sunlight glints off the edge of the dust, creating the impression of a Second Sun rising or setting. Would this new technique, allowing amateur equipment to photograph Planet X itself, challenge the cover-up over the presence of Planet X? Note the clue in the above news article: "Olsen first ventured into astro-photography in 2003, but has owned telescopes since 1990. Olsen moved to New Zealand in 2003 from Denmark." Per the Zetas, none of this is a coincidence.

ZetaTalk [Comment](#) 12/3/2011: *There is a story hidden within this story. Olsen moved to New Zealand from Denmark in 2003, a time when we were predicting the Pole Shift to strike suddenly, "shortly after May 15, 2003". He moved from Denmark, a country that would surely go under the waves both during the Pole Shift (500 foot tidal waves) or in the Aftertime (when we predict the seas to rise 675 feet). He moved to New Zealand, to one of the best locations for surviving the Pole Shift on Earth. New Zealand will get a significant elevation boost during the plate movements, is a social democracy, and will be tropical in the Aftertime.*

Olsen owned a telescope, and Planet X was [visible inbound to amateurs](#) during the winter of 2002-2003. Although ridiculed without mercy if they made any public announcements that a sighting of Planet X had been made, many amateurs were recording the movements of the Planet X complex, according to our coordinates, and sharing this information with each other. That Olsen began astro-photography in 2003 should not be a surprise, as this is when dramatic [double helix photos](#) of the Planet X complex were possible. Do we expect that amateurs will be seeking Olsen's guidance and copying his techniques to view current Planet X complex, lost in the glare of the Sun? They have already done so!

And what are these amateurs likely to see? Today, the Planet X complex is showing up on SOHO and Stereo images regularly, as this active blog on the [Pole Shift ning](#) shows. NASA attempts to airbrush away this evidence, but mostly ignores it, claiming pixel defects or "compression artifacts". But the dramatic Winged Globe, looking identical to the appearance predicted by the ancients, can hardly be argued away. The Winged Globe has been showing up since March 27, 2009. But why has it continued to have a similar appearance in the years since it first appeared? Is Planet X not moving closer? This question was asked during a recent weekly Q&A session with the Zetas.

IMAGE: [Winged Symbol](#)

IMAGE: [Winged SOHO](#)

ZetaTalk [Comment 12/3/2011](#): *When the Planet X complex was inbound in 2002, being identified on CCD imaging by a team of amateurs, it changed in nature. At first seen as a distinct fuzzy cluster with a bright star-like center, it became diffuse, and the center less dense. What was happening, on the images, was the spread of the complex, so that the Moon Swirls were not seen clustered close to the corpus, but rather the vast dust cloud was spread out. Nancy described this with an analogy of [a buffalo stampede](#), first seen as a cluster from a distance and then later as the buffalo are visible as individual entities. As the stampede gets close, one does not see the entire herd, but only individual buffalo. This does not mean that the herd has gotten smaller. It means you no longer can see the entire herd!*

In early 2003, when Planet X could still be seen in the night sky, photo captures of the complex first showed the [complex as a whole](#). Now, with the complex spread out between the Earth and Sun, the Moon Swirls are seen individually, and often confused with the corpus of Planet X itself. The Winged Globe persona is showing the corpus of Planet X with its two dominant Moon Swirls on either side, the double helix constellation often distinctly visible in early 2003 photos. In these photos, as in the SOHO images today, the corpus is the minor player. It is the wings on either side that are noticed, and the trailing tail. Thus the shape reflecting light remains the same, but the amount of debris on either side, and the size of the corpus itself, cannot be determined. As it gets closer, you increasingly fail to see the complex - the corpus, Moon Swirls, and vast dust cloud - as a whole.

IMAGE: [2003 Complex Whole](#)

We are currently seeing the Planet X complex spread across our skies where before were seeing the complex as a whole, as these recent photos from an amateur astronomer in Italy show.

IMAGE: [Complex Photos](#)

2012 Revisited

Where in all the ancient Mayan material does it say 2012? It doesn't, despite the hype. Planet X arrived in the inner solar system in 2003, as the Zetas predicted. Though they know the date of the pending pole shift, they are not allowed to tell mankind. Hungry for a date, speculation is rampant. But the date of December 21, 2012 is merely a *guess*, as any close look at the process by which it was derived will show.

ZetaTalk [Explanation 1/20/2007](#): *We have stated that the Mayan Calendar, while correct in and of itself, was not lined up with the*

Gregorian correctly. It is a guess by those who arrived at the popular 2012 date, not a certainty. The Mayans had 3 calendars, one astrological which could be lined up with the Gregorian as there are hook points where, for instance, planets lined up or an eclipse might occur. However, there is no link between this calendar and the other two. One, a 260 day calendar, is a ceremonial calendar, and since some descendants are today practicing according to this calendar, it was assumed it would be linked back through the centuries. Not true, as pole shifts cause disruption in communities, who later try to resume their former ceremonies and strike out at a likely time in doing so. The third calendar is the long calendar, and this is the one ending at the assumed 2012 date. There was a glyph on a wooden arch, carbon dated which in itself is a guess, showing a presumed relationship between the ceremonial and long calendar. This relationship itself is a guess, but based on this guess, and the current 260 day calendar, which was reset by survivors in the past, the assumption of 2012 was made.

The 2012 date is still being used in headlines and by the media, however, just as it was used in book titles to sell books in the past few years. But where in the *content* of this news article does it say 2012? The content refers to vague references on the Comalcalco bricks of something arriving from the sky. No date reference. And the Tortuguero inscriptions refer only to various calendar segments, which cannot be determined precisely for the many reasons the Zetas have cited.

IMAGE: [Mayan Artifacts](#)

Mexico Acknowledges 2nd Mayan Reference to 2012

November 24, 2011

<http://news.yahoo.com/mexico-acknowledges-2nd-mayan-reference-2012>

Most experts had cited only one surviving reference to the date in Mayan glyphs, a stone tablet from the Tortuguero site in the Gulf coast state of Tabasco. But the National Institute of Anthropology and History said in a statement that there is in fact another apparent reference to the date at the nearby Comalcalco ruin. The inscription is on the carved or molded face of a brick. The date inscribed on the brick "is a Calendar Round, a combination of a day and month position that will repeat every 52 years." The third glyph on the brick seems to read as the verb huli, "he/she/it arrives." Both inscriptions - the Tortuguero tablet and the Comalcalco brick - were probably carved about 1,300 years ago and both are cryptic in some ways. The Tortuguero inscription describes something that is supposed to occur in 2012 involving Bolon Yokte, a mysterious Mayan god associated with both war and creation. Some read the last eroded glyphs as perhaps saying, "He will descend from the sky." The Institute of Anthropology and History has long said rumors of a world-ending or world-changing event in late December 2012 are a Westernized misinterpretation of Mayan calendars.

ZetaTalk [Comment](#) 11/26/2011: *Unlike the headlines, neither of these objects refers to the Gregorian year 2012. You have an ancient*

language no longer spoken or written so interpretation is a guess. You have a Mayan people practicing ceremonies today after a breach of hundreds of years so their ceremonial calendar is no longer a good guide and is a guess. You have glyphs whose date is a guess, established by carbon dating on wood which is imprecise or carved on stone which cannot be carbon dated. So you have a guess based on a guess based on a guess! All pointing, per those who want to sell books with 2012 in their title, to precisely December 21, 2012.

2012 was used as a magnet, so that discussions about the many prophecies, the End Time prophecies, could be pointed into the future. When the 2012 craze first began, years ago, this was far into the future and thus considered safe by the establishment, who want first and foremost for their slave classes to tend to their jobs and not rush away from coastal cities. Where ZetaTalk was saying mankind should prepare now, move to their safe locations now, the establishment wanted the common man to think they had plenty of time to prepare. What will they do now that 2012 is upon them, and we are only at the start of the 7 of 10 scenarios? They will fog the issues, finding some reason to point into the future.

As the 2012 year is about to commence we should also remind the readership that the Zetas are not allowed to give the date of the pole shift. They are allowed to describe a sequence of events, such as the 7 of 10 plate movements now unfolding as predicted. They have been allowed to be very specific about the timeline during the Last Weeks, as by then it will be excruciatingly obvious that the pole shift is upon mankind. They have been allowed to state that the pole shift will occur at the end of a magnetic trimester. But just what year or what trimester within that year is something they are *not* allowed to reveal.

Prior to 2003 the Zetas stated that Planet X would arrive in 2003, and it arrived along a trajectory [precisely where](#) and [when](#) they said it would, visible in the night sky as it crossed over into the inner solar system between [March and May](#) of 2003, visible naked eye to the astonished ZetaTalk fans. But it did not *pass*. It did not cause a pole shift, which is still pending for the Earth. That portion of the date given by the Zetas was an [admitted White Lie](#) intended to get the common man to prepare and not delay. When the 2012 craze emerged, the Zetas again encouraged man to prepare without delay, stating the pole shift would occur *prior* to 2012, but later had to admit this was again just a nudge.

ZetaTalk [Admission](#) 7/11/2009: *We are not allowed to give a date. In that stating "before 2012" is in a manner of speaking "giving a date", this should be taken with a grain of salt. Nonetheless, we are advising people, as we have since the start of ZetaTalk, to take action, not to wait. Get your ass prepared, and stop thinking of the exact date! That's our message to you.*

At the start of the ZetaTalk sage the [Zetas predicted](#) the globe would go into a second Great Depression, that crop shortages and weather extremes would occur, that erratic tides and an almost exponential increase in earthquakes would occur. All this has occurred

as predicted. And recently they with great specificity described the 7 of 10 scenarios, plates movements which are unfolding as described, albeit not as *quickly* as predicted. Will the pole shift occur within 2012? At present we are in the 7 of 10 scenarios, to be followed by the 8 of 10 phase. Just how this lines up with the calendar it not to be revealed. When the very precisely predicted 9 of 10 Last Weeks occur mankind will have no doubt, nor will they have any doubt when the even more precisely predicted 10 of 10 - the pole shift itself - occurs.

Atlantic Stretch

Is there a connection between the recent restlessness in the Canary Islands and a collapsing building in Lagos on November 29, dual beaver dams collapsing north of Toronto on December 1, and a giant wave swamping a ferry between Norway and Denmark on December 3? The connection is likely a tearing Atlantic Rift, so aptly pointed out on this [Pole Shift ning](#) blog. There have been several quakes along the Atlantic Rift of late.

2011-11-29 Mag 4.6 CENTRAL MID-ATLANTIC RIDGE
2011-12-01 Mag 5.2 NORTHERN MID-ATLANTIC RIDGE
2011-12-04 Mag 5.2 NORTHERN MID-ATLANTIC RIDGE
2011-12-04 Mag 4.9 NORTHERN MID-ATLANTIC RIDGE

As Africa begins its silent roll, it drops and skews sideways a bit, separating along the Mediterranean and down through the Red Sea, thereby allowing the lower part of Africa to pull toward the Indian Ocean. It is known that the Red Sea is pulling apart, as is the Rift Valley in Africa, but Nigeria is stressed also, likewise being pulled apart. Thus the sudden and completely unexpected toppling of a building in Lagos.

IMAGE: [Lagos Building](#)

Collapsed Building at Oba Akran Ikeja Lagos

November 29, 2011

<http://mannamart.blogspot.com/2011/11/collapsed-building>

A building located at 114 Oba Akran Road in Ikeja collapsed without much warning. The building, which was a cold room began to shake a few minutes before it collapsed.

If the Magnitude 4.6 along the Central Mid-Atlantic Ridge on November 29 caused this collapse, indirectly, then did the Magnitude 5.2 in the North Atlantic Ridge on December 1 cause dual beaver dam collapses north of Toronto? Beaver dams simply do *not* collapse.

These busy little dam builders operate by instinct, so that such a thing as "human error" simply does not occur.

Beaver Dam Construction

http://www.trapperman.com/trapperman/article_four.html

Beavers have good reason for building their permanent dams on a clay bottom. When the beaver uses sticks, poles, limbs or other woody material, the heavy end of this wood is placed on the dam and the smaller ends are on the lower side of the dam. When the water is high and there is force against the upper side of the dam, the smaller ends of the poles and limbs dig into the bottom on the lower side of the dam and help hold the dam in place. Beavers seem to have a preference for waterlogged wood gathered from the bottom of streams or other bodies of water for dam construction. This material doesn't float because of its waterlogged condition.

What caused dual beaver dams to collapse, then? A close look at the area shows many rivers on all sides, close enough to the Seaway to be considered in the Seaway stretch zone. The rivers were pulled apart!

IMAGE: [Dam Location](#)

Collapse of Beaver Dam hits Remote Road

December 1, 2011

<http://www.montrealgazette.com/news/Collapse+beaver+hits>

The collapse of two beaver dams in the village of St. Côme, about 110 kilometres north of Montreal just south of Mont Tremblant Park, washed out substantial chunks of a local road. The duo of dam collapses, about a half-hour apart, have reportedly crippled the area's municipal water supply, normally fed by a mountaintop lake that hosts the rodents.

Is there also a relationship between the Magnitude 5.2 and 4.9 quakes on the North Atlantic Ridge on December 4 and the giant wave that washed over a ferry traveling between Norway and Denmark? Buoys in the Norwegian Sea or the North Sea did not go on alert. It is known that the Eurasian Plate is being pulled apart, with a stretch zone across Europe causing the trumpets of Kiev to set the reservoir singing, as noted in [Issue 255](#) of this newsletter, and the Horn of Belarus to set the river to singing, as noted in [Issue 257](#) of this newsletter. A close look at the channel between Norway and Denmark shows this is deep water, where the land masses are pulling apart! It was not so much the Atlantic Ridge quakes causing an increase in this separation, it was the reverse. The adjustment below the ferry caused the North Atlantic quakes!

IMAGE: [Giant Wave](#)

Giant Wave Washed over Ferry

December 3, 2011

<http://www.bt.dk/udland/kaempeboelge-skyller-ind-over-faerge>

A large wave hit Saturday afternoon ferry Superspeed and led to an engine failure en route between Hirtshals and Kristiansand in Norway. A large wave that swept across the bridge. The wave hit a valve so that water came into the control panel.

NASA Attacks Nancy Lieder Again

Once can be a mistake but twice shows a deliberate campaign! Surely NASA can read, and knows that the ZetaTalk website is *not* saying the passage of Planet X will occur on December 21, 2012! They have said the opposite, from the start. Yet Dick Morrison, a NASA scientist, mis-stated the truth on June 1, 2009 in a [NASA Q&A](#).

ZetaTalk [Prediction 7/3/2010](#): *Of course Dick Morrison's lecture attacked Nancy and her message as the first and foremost enemy, because we and we alone are pointing to the presence of Planet X in the inner solar system, and advising people on how to look for it.*

Now Don Yeomans, also of NASA, has been [quoted by MSNBC](#) mis-stating the same lies. Either no one at NASA can read, or this is a deliberate campaign. Take your choice. They are inept, or they are liars.

2012 Apocalypse Fears Unfounded, NASA Says

December 9, 2012

http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/45618479/ns/technology_and_science-space/

Yeomans noted that well-known UFO aficionado Nancy Leider, who describes herself as being in contact with aliens from the star system Zeta Reticuli, first said Nibiru would cause widespread disaster in May 2003, only to later change her prediction to Dec. 21, 2012.

Nor does ZetaTalk describe a collision of planets, as it is termed, in all cases, to be a passage, yet [Wikipedia mis-states](#) this fact even though I, Nancy Lieder, as author of the ZetaTalk website, have officially informed them of their error.